Domestication process and linguistic differentiation of millets in the Indian subcontinent

Mikio KIMATA Plants and People Museum

The vernacular names of millets were gathered through field surveys in the Indian subcontinent since 1983. Farmers have an appropriate awareness of the status of millets and their relative weeds in the domestication process. This symbiotic process between millets and farmers was reconstructed by integrating field observations, botanical experiments, archaeological data, and linguistic sources. There were various vernacular names in the Eastern Ghats and Southern Deccan Plateau, where Indian millets were widely cultivated with their relative species today. It is obvious that the several names in the old Indo-Aryan and Dravidian languages are related to the vernacular names of millets. Brachiaria ramosa and Setaria pumila have been domesticated from the weeds that grew around upland rice fields via a mimic companion weed type that was mainly related to Panicum sumatrense and other grain crops. Brachiaria ramosa has become an independent crop in pure stands, while Setaria pumila grows as a mixed crop with Panicum sumatrense and other millets. Consequently, Brachiaria ramosa and Setaria pumila are so-called "tertiary crops," meaning, they are a double secondary crop for the other millets and upland rice. The order of first occurrence of millets from historical sites generally supports this evolutionary process. This domestication center of millets covered the Eastern Ghats and Southern Deccan Plateau.

Key words: dispersal, domestication, linguistic differentiation, millets, mimic companion weeds

Introduction

The indigenous millets of the Indian subcontinent

have been domesticated across their ranges of presentday cultivation for some 3500 years (de Wet et al. 1983a; Fuller 2002; Pokharia 2008). These millets include Paspalum scrobiculatum L. (kodo millet), Echinochloa frumentacea Link (Indian barnyard millet), Panicum sumatrense Roth. (little millet), Brachiaria ramosa (L.) Stapf. (korne), Setaria pumila (Poir.) Roem. & Schult. (korati; syn. Setaria glauca (L.) P. Beauv.), Digitaria cruciata (Nees) A. Camus (raishan), and Digitaria sanguinalis (L.) Scop. (Chandra and Koppar 1990; de Wet et al. 1983a, b, c). The former three species seem to be secondary in origin, through the mimic and/or companion weeds of the rain-fed paddy and then upland rice in Eastern India. The next two species, Brachiaria ramosa and Setaria pumila, were domesticated as secondary crops that were associated with the other millets via their mimic companion weed types in South India (Kimata et al. 2000; Kimata 2015a, 2015b, Kobayashi 1987, 1989). Digitaria cruciata was domesticated in the late nineteenth century by Kashi natives in Meghalaya and is cultivated only in the Kashi Hills (Singh and Arara 1972). Unfortunately, Digitaria sanguinalis has disappeared, and its origin is not clear.

In contrast to other millets, which were probably domesticated in humid Eastern India, *Brachiaria ramosa* and *Setaria pumila* have adapted to the dry climate of the semi-arid tropics. *Brachiaria ramosa* was cultivated in the hot, arid red soil region of Southern India, whereas *Setaria pumila* was cultivated in the hot sub-humid ecoregion in red and lateritic soils of Orissa, as well as in the hot semi-arid ecoregion on red loamy soils of Southern India (Sehgal et al. 1992). *Brachiaria ramosa* tolerates drought better than *Setaria pumila*, it



Fig. 1. Field surveys in the Indian subcontinent.

has undergone a specializing adaptation to arid regions, and it has nearly attained the tertiary domesticated phase (Kimata et al. 2000). On the other hand, the local varieties of Setaria pumila have adapted to drier fields in Southern India than in Orissa. Setaria pumila was normally grown with Panicum sumatrense, but it seemed to grow singly when the latter failed to grow in severe droughts, which was observed in our 1987 survey. This possibly suggests that Setaria pumila could become an independent crop. Brachiaria ramosa is an underutilized millet that is restricted in cultivation today to dry areas in the two border districts of Tumkur and Anantapur in the states of Karnataka and Andhra Pradesh, respectively. Brachiaria ramosa is cultivated in pure stands as a sole tertiary crop, while Setaria pumila is still cultivated by mixed cropping with Panicum sumatrense and other grain crops as a minor domesticated plant. A tertiary crop is a type of double secondary crop of Panicum sumatrense and others and a secondary crop of upland rice.

The methodological concept of the "basic

agricultural complex," the so-called "from seeds to stomach" idea, was proposed by Nakao (1967) while studying the origin of agriculture. A domesticated plant always is accompanied by a cultural complex, which includes cultivation practices, processing, cookery, religious use, vernacular names, and other aspects (Kimata and Sakamoto 1992). Bellwood and Renfrew (2002) recently proposed and examined their "farming/ language dispersal hypothesis" cooperative across the disciplines of archaeology, linguistics, and genetics from a broad comparative perspective. These millets and their relative weeds also have many vernacular names in each locality and language. This report is concerned with the reconstruction of their domestication process, particularly Brachiaria ramosa and Setaria pumila, from the point of view of their vernacular names with reference to linguistic archaeology, because good linguistic data have not yet been sufficient for the indigenous millets (Fuller 2002; Southworth 2005).

Field surveys and methods

Extensive field surveys were conducted in Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh, and Tamil Nadu in 1985, 1996, 1997, and 2001; Maharashtra in 1987; Orissa in 1987 and 2001; Madhya Pradesh and Bihar in 1989; and Himachal Pradesh and Uttar Pradesh in 1996. Furthermore, the surveys were added in Nepal in 1983 and Pakistan in 1985 and 1989 (edited by Sakamoto 1987, 1989, 1991). The observations that concentrated on Brachiaria ramosa and Setaria pumila were made in the local fields, particularly in 1996 to 1997 and 2001 (Fig. 1). The vernacular names of cereals and their wild/ weed relatives were gathered from local farmers in each locality and language, used to construct a database, and were also extracted from the literature about Indian agriculture. The vernacular names from farmers were given an expression that was written in English by local farmers and regional researchers from agriculture extension stations. Moreover, the vernacular names of food items were collected from the English menu of local restaurants and cookbooks from each state.



| State | Language | Status | Vernacular names | | |
|----------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| Orissa | Oriya | Weed with Pas. scrobiculatum | gusara pata, chusara mata | | |
| | | Weed/Domesticated? | ghusara pata, lota, ghada langi | | |
| Maharashtra | Marathi | Domesticated | chama pothaval ³⁾ | | |
| Andhra Pradesh | Telugu | Weed Domesticated | akki hullu, votlu kosavu andakora, anda korra, <i>pedda sama</i> ¹⁾ , disakalu, edurigaddi | | |
| Karnataka | Kannada | Domesticated | kornne, korale, korne, korneki, kornike, bennakki hullu ³⁾ | | |
| Tamil Nadu | Tamil | Mimic companion weed with <i>P. sumatrense</i> | koothi same, sakkalati same, same melatti $^{5)},{\rm pil}$ sama, pani varagu | | |
| | | Domesticated | kam pampul, palapul ³⁾ | | |
| Kelara | Malayalam | Domesticated | chama pothaval ³⁾ | | |

Table 1. Vernacular names of Brachiaria ramosa, summer annual in India

Italics cited from 1) Fuller 2002, 2) Kobayashi 1991, 3) Ambasta 1986.

Results

Brachiaria ramosa was cultivated mainly in a few states of South India. This semi-arid area is subject to a savanna climate in Deccan Plateau. Brachiaria ramosa and its relatives are summer annuals and have many vernacular names in each locality and language as shown in Table 1. The following tables contain some vernacular names that are cited for the convenience of discussion, but the results of surveys are from the author's own data. This domesticated type has been known by various vernacular names in Maharashtra and South India (cf. Chandra and Koppar 1990; Kawase 1987; Kimata et al. 2000; Kobayashi 1987, 1989). The domesticated type was called similar names: hama pothaval in Maharashtra, chama pothaval in Kelara, and kama pampul and palapul in Tamil Nadu. On the other hand, it was called different names in the border area between Andhra Pradesh and Karnataka, mainly korne, korneki, and andakora, and sometimes pedda sama and disakalu. The mimic companion weed type was known as koothi same, sakalati same, and pil same in Tamil Nadu. The weed type was known as gusara pata and chusara mata in Orissa, and akki hullu and votlu kosavu in Andhra Pradesh.

Setaria pumila was cultivated at a few hill sites that were mainly in Orissa and South India. This semi-arid area is also subject to a savanna climate in Deccan Plateau. Setaria pumila and its relatives are summer annuals and have many vernacular names in each locality and language as shown in Table 2. The domesticated type was known by a great variety of vernacular names in Orissa and in the border area between Andhra Pradesh and Karnataka (cf. Chandra and Koppar 1990; Kawase 1987; Kimata et al. 2000; Kobayashi 1987, 1989). These names were usually shortened to a single word, such as nehari in Orissa, lingudi in Maharashtra, korati in Andhra Pradesh, korlu in Tamil Nadu, and korin in Karnataka, and the names were sometimes composed of two words, including kuku lange and kukur lange in Orissa, kora samuru in Andhra Pradesh, and samuru korra in Karnataka. The mimic companion weed type was known by many vernacular names, too. Further, these names were usually a single word, such as *nauri* in Bihar, *lingri* in Orissa, nauri in Madhya Pradesh, korale in Andhra Pradesh, and erikorra in Karnataka. They sometimes have adjectives that indicate the associated plants, for example, in Andhra Pradesh, varagu korali and varagu sakkalathi indicate a companion weed of kodo millet, while samalu korali and arasama indicate a companion weed of little millet. The weed type was often called navari in Madhya Pradesh, ghas in Orissa, and unique names such as ghoda langi, meaning horse tail, in Orissa and sana korulu, meaning little foxtail millet.

The vernacular names of other indigenous millets and rice in the Indian subcontinent are shown in Table 3. The domesticated type of *Panicum sumatrense*, a summer annual, was usually called *samai*, *same*, *sama*,

| State | Language | Status | Vernacular names |
|----------------|-----------|--|---|
| Bihar | Hindi | M in ic companion weed with <i>Pas.</i> <i>scrobiculatum</i> | nauri navri nebri neuri nevri n bri harri tutuam |
| Orissa | 0 riya | Weed | ghoda $$ lang i, kuku lange, bira ilange and gaso (Kondha), ghas ; bilai lance and lota $^{2)}$ |
| | | M in ic companion weed with <u>E.</u> coracana, Pas. scrobiculatum, P. sumatrense ^{and} Orvza sativa | lingrįghas lingudį kukuru lange; <i>ghas lingri</i> ²⁾ |
| | | D om esticated type w ith <i>Pas.</i> scrobiculatum ^{and} P. sumatrense | nehari kuku bange, kukur bange (Konda Dora), kukuru range; <i>kukuru lang</i> e ⁵⁾ , kuku bange, lingudi engudi kukukangdi |
| Madhya Pradesh | | Weed | navari, navri, naviri (Variga) |
| | | Minic com panion weed with Pas. scrobicu batum | harri, nauri, navri, neuri, nibri, tutuam, nebri ^{and} nevri ²⁾ |
| Maharashtra | M arath i | W eed D om esticated type | ghas Ingudi Ingudi engudi |
| Andhra Pradesh | Telugu | Weed | sana koru lu |
| | | M in ic companion weed with <i>Pas.</i> scrobiculatum and <i>P. sumatren</i> se | kora b. kura b. kuru b. kaddi korin b., sam uru kora li arasam a, varagu kora li varagu sakka bith i |
| | | Domesticated type | korati korindu, korinu, koral kora samuru, same koruu, samelu, sama, arasama, chinna sama, tela samuru, nerige, nerigalu, <i>samuru korra²⁾</i> |
| Tamil Nadu | Tam il | Domesticated type | koru, korati |
| Karnataka | Kannada | M in ic com pan ion weed w ith <i>E.</i> coracana, Pas. scrobiculatum, P. sumatrense ^{and} Oryza sativa | erkorra, korindu μ, arasam a, neriga μ, neriya |
| | | Domesticated type with <i>P.</i> sumatrense | korn, korra, korru L, sam uru korra |
| Others | Hindi | Domesticated type | bandhra ¹⁾ |

Table 2. Vernacular names of Setaria pumila, summer annual in India

Italics cited from 1) Fuller 2002, 2) Kobayashi 1991.

Austin 2006: korai [kora, korali] (Bengali, Deccan, Hindi, India and Bangladesh), bandra (Hindi, India), varagu korali (varagu, firewood, korali, ear or corn, Tamil)

and similar names in South India, while it was called *vari* and *wari* in Maharashtra, *gurji* and *koeri* in Orissa, and *gondula* in West Bengal. Further, indigenous people called it various names, including *kutki* (Vaiga) and *mejheri* (Gobdi) in Madhya Pradesh; *gundli* (Munda) in Bihar; *ghantia* (Kunda Tading), *gurgi* (Kunda Dora), and *suau* (Paraja) in Orissa; and *batta* (Kotha) in Tamil Nadu. The mimic companion weed type was identified and called *akki marri hullu*, meaning weed-like rice, *kadu same*, meaning weed little millet, and *kosu samalu* only in Karnataka, while the weed type was sometimes called *kadu* and *fodo* in Karnataka, *gabat* in Maharashtra, and *erigola* and *arasama* in Andhra Pradesh.

The domesticated type of *Paspalum scrobiculatum*, a perennial, was mainly called *kodo, kodora*, and similar names, but it had different names such as *harik* in Maharashtra; *arik* in Andhra Pradesh; *arka, alka*, and

varagu in Karnataka; and *varagu* in Tamil Nadu. The mimic companion weed grew in upland rice fields. It was called *kodo* and *kodaira* in Madhya Pradesh, *kodo war* in Bihar, and *kodoghas* (Paraja) in Orissa. The wild/ weed type was called *kotocha* in Maharashtra, *khar sami* and *kodo wani* in Bihar, and *kodo ghas* in Orissa.

The domesticated type of *Echinochloa frumentacea*, a summer annual, was known as *jangora* in Uttar Pradesh; *sawan* and similar names in Madhya Pradesh and Bihar; *sankari wari* in Maharashtra; *jhari, dhatela*, and *gruji suau* (Paraja) in Orissa; *ooda* in Andhra Pradesh; *kudurai vali* in Tamil Nadu; and *wadalu* in Karnataka. The ancestral weed species, *Echinochloa colona* was called *chichivi* in Maharashtra, *dhela* in Orissa, and probably *sain* in Bihar. *Digitaria cruciata* was a summer annual called *raishan* only in Kashi Hills. The domesticated type of *Coix lacryma-jobi* was a perennial called *re-si* in Nagaland (Church 1886), while the other weed species



| | hacular n Language | | other indigenous n | nillets and rice in In | ndian Subcontinent | lar names (Indigen | oue poople) | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|---|--|---|--------------------|---|--|
| Country State | ляпдпяде | BISTOR | Panicum sumatrense | Paspalum scrobiculatum | Echinochloa frumentacea | | ous people) Coix lacryma-jobi | Oryza sativa |
| Growth habit | | | summer annual | perennial | summer annual | summer annual | perennial | perennial |
| Pakistan | | | | | | | | |
| NWFP Gilgit | | | | | | | | chaw I |
| Baltistan | | | | | | | | |
| Punjab Baluchistan | | | | | sarou ⁴⁾ , swank and sawank ⁶⁾ | | | |
| India | | | | | sawara ^{®)} | | | |
| Jammu & Kashin ir | | domest | | | karin ⁴⁾ | | | |
| Hinn acha IP radesh | 115.12 | domest | | katai | | | | |
| U ttar P radesh (U ttarancha)) | Hindi | dom est dom est | | koda | jhangora, jangora, m ad ira | | | dhan dhan |
| Punjab | | domest | kutki ⁴⁾ | kodora ⁴⁾ | j | | | |
| Haryana | | | | | | | | |
| Rajasthan Gujarat | | domest | | menva ⁴⁾ | | | | |
| Madh ya Pradesh | | weed | | TIENVA | chichvi = <i>E. colona</i> | | gu hu = <i>C. aiaantia</i> | pasahi= <i>O. rufipoqon</i> |
| | | comp.weed | | kodo, kodaira, kodaila and | chichvi, <i>nauri</i> ²⁾ | | | |
| | | domest | kutki (Vaiga), mejheri | marendo ²⁾ kodo | sawan, savan, sawai | | | dhan, chawal, lehi= |
| | | | (Gondi, Kaland Vaiga) | | , , | | | up land rice |
| Maharashtra | M arath i | wibd weed | gabat | kotcha | sankariwari | | | deobath =0. rufipogon |
| | | dom est | | kodo, kodora, harik | wari | | | tandu l |
| | | | varag, kodra, w ara i ²⁾ | | | | | |
| B har (Jharkhand) | Hindi | wild | | khar sam i = Pas. indicum, kodo wani; matwani and | san | | gurya | |
| | | | | kharasami (Pas. sp.) ²⁾ | | | | |
| | | comp.weed | | kodo war, marendo ²⁾ | | | | · · · · |
| | | domest | gundli (Munda) | kodo (Munda) | saw an, sw an, sam a | | | chawal dhan, gora- dhan = upland rice |
| 0 visco (Chattingarh) | 0 | wood | | المراجع معطام | dha h = = _ / | | korankhar = C, | - |
| 0 rissa (Chattisgarh) | Uriya | weed | | kodo-ghas, goddo | dhe la = <i>E. colona</i> | | <i>aiaantia,</i> gorigodio | balunga |
| | | comp.weed | | kodoghas (Paraja), mandia and kodo 2^{2} | | | | |
| | | domest | | kodo, koddo, koda | hari, dhate la | | | dhan, gadeba dhan = |
| | | 00111 631 | ghantia (Kunda | Kodo, Koddo, Koda | jiai, ullausia | | | upland rice |
| | | | Tading),gurgi (Kunda | | | | | |
| | 0 thers | domest | Dora), suau (Paraja), | | grujisuau (Paraja) | | | |
| | | | nalisuan, kusuda, kosula (Others) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Andhra Pradesh | Telugu | weed | ara sam a, erigo la sam e, sam a, sam uru, <i>nella</i> sharron ⁴⁾ | 0 | | | | |
| | | domest | shama ⁴⁾ | arka, <i>allu</i> 4) | ooda, oodalli, <i>bouth-sham</i> a ⁴⁾ | | | paddy, biyyam |
| T 110 1 | T '1 | | | varagu, waragu ²⁾ , kodra and | | | | |
| Tam ilNadu | Tam il | domest | sam ai, <i>cha'rra</i> i and <i>sharra</i> ⁶⁾ , batta (Kotha) | varagu, waragu $^{2)}$, kodra and harik $^{2)}$ | kudura⊢vali, korali | | kassabija ⁴⁾ | paddy |
| Kamataka | Kannada | weed | kadu, fodo | | | | | |
| | | comp.weed | akkimarrihullu, <i>akki hullu,</i> | | | | | |
| | | | kavadadara hullu, kaddu same, kosu samalu ^{and} | | | | | |
| | | | verri arasamulu 20 | | | | | |
| | | domest | sam e, saw an, sam i | varagu, arka, aka, kodo | w ada lu | | | gouri |
| | | | hejjanve, <i>pani varagu</i> and <i>samulu</i> ²⁾ | | | | | |
| Kerala | | 17 | | | | | | |
| WestBengal | Bengali | weed/ domest | | | shama = E. colona 4) | | garem ara = <i>C. gigantia</i> | 3 |
| | | domest | aondula 4) | koda ⁴⁾ | sama and kheri 4) | | auraru and kunch 4) | |
| M egaraya | Khasi | dom est | | | | raishan | | - hale - se |
| N aga land O the rs | Hindi | dom est dom est | the set 1) is set and | kodu and kodhra ¹⁾ , kodaka ⁴⁾ | 4) | | re-si ⁽⁴⁾ | chahau |
| | | 30m 00L | shavan ¹⁾ , kutki and gundli ⁴⁾ | kodu anu kodhra ", kodaka " | sa'nwa, sa'muka ^{and} sawa ^{**} , shama, sanwa ^{and} sawank ¹⁾ | | gurlu, giral and garahedua ¹⁾ , kauch- | vrihi ¹⁾ |
| | | | guilui | | shana, sanwa ang SawanK | | <i>auraur, saukru'</i> and | |
| | Sanskrit | domest | | (() and () () | | | lechusa ⁴⁾ | |
| | | | | kora'susha ^{and} kodrava ⁴⁾ | sarwak and shamak = E. | | | |
| | NW Province | domest | | kodon and marsi ⁴⁾ | colonum ⁴⁾ | | | |
| | Deccan | domest | | | kathli 4) | | | |
| | unknown | domest | | | sam a and ketu (Newar) = <i>E</i> . | | | |
| Nepal | Nepalese | weed | | | orvzicola | | | |
| Distant | Rhutanaaa | domest | | kodra | | | | dhan, paddy |
| Bhutan Bangradesh | Bhutanese | domest | | | | | | |
| Sri Lanka | Sinhalese | dom est | mene'ri ⁴⁾ | wal-amu ⁴⁾ | wel-manukku ⁴⁾ | | ki'kir-rindi' 4) | |
| |) Fuller 2002 | 0) Kabayaab | i1991 4)Church 1886 6) | | | | | |

Table 3. Vernacular names of other indigenous millets and rice in Indian Subcontinent

Italics cited from 1) Fuller 2002, 2) Kobayashi 1991, 4) Church 1886, 6) Kawase 1991, ...

that often invaded rice paddy fields was called *gulru* in Madhya Pradesh, *gurya*, meaning small, in Bihar, *korankhar* in Orissa, and *garemara* in West Bengal.

Oryza sativa L., a perennial, was usually called *chawa*l or *dhan*, but the upland rice was called *lehi* in Madhya Pradesh, *gora dhan* in Bihar, *gadeba dhan* in



| Country | Language | Status | | | lar names (Indigend | ous people) | |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------|--|---|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|---|
| State | | | Panicum miliaceum | Setaria italica | Eleusine coracana | Sorghum bicolor | Pennisetum glaucum |
| Growth habit | | | summer annual | summer annual | summer annual | summer aannual | summer annual |
| Pakistan | | | | | | | |
| W FP | | | 6) | ghgh, ghok, gokhton, | | | bajera, baijera |
| | | | olean | gokhtan, grashik, grach, | | | |
| | | | | gras and grass | | | |
| G ilgit | | | olean, chiena, cheena, | | | | |
| | | | bau and onu ⁶ | cheena 6 | | | |
| 3 a Itistan | | | tzetze | cha ⁶⁾ | | | |
| Punjab | | | LZCLZC | kangani, kangni ^{and} | mandoh ⁶⁾ | jowar, <i>jowani</i> 6) | bajra, |
| 5 | | | | konaoni ⁶⁾ | THANQON | join al, jowall | 5, |
| 3 a luch istan | | | | Kondonii | | | |
| India | | | | | | | |
| Jammu & Kashin ir | Kashin iri | | | | | | |
| 1 in acha I P radesh | | | charai | kauni | | | |
| J ttar P rade sh | Hindi | weed | | | <i>khadua</i> = hybrid by <i>E</i> . | | |
| | | | | | indica ²⁾ | | |
| | | comp. | | | <i>jhhadua</i> = hybrid by | | |
| | | weed | | | Indaf ²⁾ | | |
| | | domest | china, sawan | kangani, kangooni | mandua, ragi | jowar, jwar, juara | bajra |
| (Uttarancha) | | domest | cheena, ch n | kauni, kouni, korin, konin | m andua, m anduw a, | | |
| ^p anjab | Panjabi | | | | marwa, koda | | |
| anjad Taryana | ranjani | | | | | | |
| la jasthan | | | | | | | |
| Gujarat | Gujarati | | | | | | |
| ladhya Pradesh | a a jara a | wild/weed | | | | | |
| | | domest | | kang, kakun | ragi, m adia | jowar | bajira |
| l aharashtra | M arath i | wild/weed | | - | nachun i = E. indica | | |
| | | dom est | wari, tane | raha, nai | nachani, nachuni, | bwar, bwari bwary | hajeri hajri |
| | | | | там, тат | nachana, ragi | ע מו, טיימו, טיימוע aly | |
| 3 har (Jharkhand) | H indi, B ihari | weed | | | marwani, malwa =€. | | |
| | | | | | indica ²⁾ | | |
| | | domest | cheena | kauni | m arua, m aruw a, <i>malwa</i> | jow ar | bajera |
|) rissa (Chattisgarh) | 0 rya | wild/weed | | | jangali–suau (Paraja) = | | |
| | | | pan ⊢varagu, cheena | kangu, gangu | <i>E. indica</i> ragi, man je-suau | jonna, jhna, jowary, | kavna |
| | | | pann varagu, cheena | Kaligu, galigu | (Paraja), mandia | jowar | Kayira |
| | | domest | | | Kondho), pahado- | pira | |
| | | | | | mandia (Kond Dora) | | |
| | 0 thers | domest | | kangul (Paraja) | | | |
| Andhra Pradesh | Telgu | dom est | variga | korra, kora, koralu, | ragi, tam ada | jonna, jower | habra cain (* 1 ⁴⁾ |
| | | | - | navane | | | bajera, sajja, <i>gantilu</i> ' ⁴⁾ |
| 「am ilNadu | Tam il | dom est | panivaragu, <i>varagu</i> | thenai, korra, <i>thennai</i> ¹⁾ , | ragi, kapa i | jowar, jara, jora, | bajera, cum ba, cum bu, |
| | | | and katacuny 4) | tinai ⁴⁾ | | cholam | cumbu' ^{4),} kambu ⁶⁾ |
| Camataka | Kannada | weed | | | kadu ragi, ragi kaddi, = | | |
| | | | | | E. indica ^{2);} hullu = | | |
| | | | | | hybrid by Indaf ²⁾ | | |
| | | domest | baragu | navane, naw ane | ragi, nach na | jow ar | bajra |
| (era la | | | | | | | |
| lestBengal | Bengali | domest | cheena ⁵⁾ | ka'kun ⁴⁾ | kodo | jowar, junero | |
|) thers | Hindi | domest | <i>chin, morha</i> and <i>anu</i> | kanani, kanau and kakun | | | |
| | | | ¹⁾ , chena and chi'na ⁴⁾ | ¹⁾ , ka'ngni, ta'ngan, | ragi ⁴⁾ | | ba'jra, ba'jri ^{and} lahra ⁴⁾ |
| | | | cheena ⁵⁾ | kavuni and rawla | · | | |
| | Sanskrit | domest | vrihibheda ⁴⁾ , u/nu/ | kaingu and priyangu ⁴⁾ , | | | |
| | | | and vreelib-heda 5) | kunau^and privunau^5 | | | |
| | unknown | dom est | sa'wan-jethwa, kuri, | KUNUU'' UNA DITVUNUU'' | | joa'r 4) | |
| | | | phikar, ra'li ^{and} bausi | | | juar | |
| | | | ⁴⁾ , _{WORDA} (Telinga) ⁵⁾ | | | | |
| Nepal | Nepalese | domest | china | kauni, kaoni-tangure | kodo | junero-makai | bajra |
| Bhutan | Bhutanese | | | , | | - | - |
| Bangradesh | | | | kaaun | | | |
| Sri Lanka | Sinhalese | | | tana-ha'l 4) | | | |
| SFI Lanka | | K I I ! | | <u></u> | 1001 | | |

Italics cited from 1) Fuller 2002, 2) Kobayashi 1991, 4) Church 1886, 5) de Cando le 1989, 6) Kawase 1991.

Orissa, and probably *gouri* in Karnataka. The wild relative *O. rufipogon* Griff. was used specially for a festival food and called *pasahi* in Madhya Pradesh, *deobath* in Maharashtra and probably *balunga* in Orissa.

The vernacular names of Asian and African millets in the Indian subcontinent are shown for comparison

with those of Indian millets in Table 4. These species are all summer annuals. *Panicum miliaceum* L. was widely called *cheena* and similar names, while it was known as *wari* and *tane* in Maharashtra and *varagu* and similar names in Orissa, Andhra Pradesh, Tamil Nadu, and Karnataka. *Setaria italica* (L.) P. Beauv. was



| Country | Language | Status | Vernacular names (Indigenous people) | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|---------------------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| State Growth habit | | | Triticum aestivum winter annual | Hordeum vulgare winter annual | Avena sp. winter annual | Zea mays summer annual | |
| Pakistan India | | | ghandam , su ji | | | makai | |
| Jammu & Kashimir Himachal Pradesh Uttar Pradesh (Uttarancha) Punjab Haryana Rajasthan Gujarat | H indi | dom est dom est | gehun | | | m akka m akai m akka, m aki m akka | |
| Madhya Pradesh | | w id/weed domest | gahun | jao | | makai | |
| M aharashtra | M arath i | wid/weed domest | | | | m akka | |
| B har (Jharkhand) | Hindi | dom est | | | | m akai, jenera = teosint | |
| 0 rissa (Chattisgarh) | 0 rya | w id/weed domest | ghaun, gahom o | | | m akka | |
| Andhra Pradesh | Telgu | domest | | | | III anna | |
| Tam il N adu | T am il | domest | godi, gangil = T. diccocum; godome, kothimai and kothi ⁴⁾ | gangi | | | |
| Kamataka Kerala | Kannada | dom est | aja = T. diccocum | | | makai | |
| W est B engal M egaraya N aga bnd | Bengali | dom est | | | | | |
| 0 thers | Hindi | domest | | | | | |
| | unknow n | dom est | | | | | |
| Nepal Bhutan Bangradesh | N epa lese B hutanese | domest | gaun, tro | jau, ne, uw a (Sherpa) |) | makai | |
| <u>Sri Lanka</u> | Sinhalese | | | | | | |

Table 5. Vernacular names of other cereals in the Indian subcontinent

also widely called *kangani, kauni*, and similar names in Sanskrit, while it was called *rala* and *rai* in Maharashtra, *korra* and *navane* in Andhra Pradesh, *korra* and *thenai* in Tamil Nadu, and *navane* in Karnataka. *Eleusine coracana* Gaertn. was usually called *ragi* in Madhya Pradesh, Orissa, and South India, while it was called *mandua, marwa*, and similar names in Uttar Pradesh and Bihar, *natuni* and similar names in Maharashtra and Karnataka, *tamada* in Andhra Pradesh, *kapai* in Tamil Nadu, and *kodo* and similar names in Uttar Pradesh, West Bengal, and Nepal. Further, indigenous people called it various names, such as *manje suau* (Paraja), *mandia* (Kondho), and *pahado mandia* (Kond Dora) in Orissa. *Sorghum bicolor* Moench was generally called *jowar* and similar names, but it was called *cholam* in Tamil Nadu, *junero* in West Bengal, and *junero makai* in Nepal. *Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. Br. was also generally called *bajra* and similar names, but it was sometimes called *kayna* in Orissa, *sajja* in Andhra Pradesh, and *cumba* and similar names in Tamil Nadu.

The vernacular names of the other cereals are shown in Table 5. *Triticum aestivum* L. was called gehun, godi, and similar names. *Triticum dicoccum* Schübler, Char. et Descr. was gangil in Tamil Nadu and aja in Karnataka. *Hordeum vulgare* L. was called jao and similar names. Those two species are winter annuals. *Avena sativa* L. was not cultivated in South India. *Zea* mays L., a summer annual, was widely called makai and similar names, while the relative teosinte was introduced for fodder and was called jenera in Bihar.

The vernacular names of Indian cookery-used cereals are shown in Table 6. The various millets were cultivated and used for a lot of cookery, particularly in South India. Each cookery had slight differences in the vernacular name. However, there were a few exceptions of cookery-used millets and rice. For example, the boiled grain was widely called *chawal* or *bhat*, but it was also known as annam in Andhra Pradesh, sadam and soru in Tamil Nadu, and anna in Karnataka. Further, the thick porridge was called onda in Orisa, samkati in Andhra Pradesh, kali in Tamil Nadu, mude and similar names in Karnataka, and *dhido* and *senne* (Sherpa) in Nepal. The thin porridge was called *bari* in Uttar Pradesh, peja in Madhya Pradesh, ambil in Maharashtra, jau in Orissa, ganji in Andhra Pradesh and Karnataka, and kulu in Tamil Nadu. Mave was a raw flour food that was offered to gods and made only from foxtail millet and rice in Tamil Nadu.

Discussion

The wild types, which were ancestral species of Indian millets, grew in wet places or habitats such as around pond peripheries and river sides. They also invaded rice paddy fields. In Pakistan, Nepal and India, many grass species, Poaceae, grow in paddy fields and on levees. Eventually, these weeds grew together in rice paddy and/or upland fields as a sympatric habitat and then became companion weeds. Some companion weeds mimicked the morphological and ecological traits of rice and became mimic companion weeds. The relationship between these plants and farmers gradually changed from subconscious and antagonistic to friendly. Farmers began to use them for fodder and insurance crops under a semi-domesticated status through the symbiotic situation. Finally, these plants were independently cultivated for food grains under a domesticated status. Therefore, this evolutionary process established a symbiotic relationship among plants and farmers (Kimata 2015a, 2015b). There are two types of mimicry in this process. One type is inter-specific to different species under the status of companion weed type, while the other is intra-specific



ttalics cited from 6) Kawase (1991) Bangradesh SriLanka

Bhutan

aharashtra har (Jharkhand)

Andhra Pradesh

ram il Nadu

(amataka

erala Vepal

adhya Pradesh

ajasthan

() ttarancha ()

Pun jab aryana jarat

Punjab Baluchistan

ndia

3 a Itistan

State Pakistan NWFP

ie it

Country

| Species name | English name | Old Indo-Aryan | Dravidian | Others |
|-------------------------|------------------|---|---------------------------------------|---|
| Brachiaria ramosa | browntop m illet | ? | see Table 1 | |
| Setaria verticillata | bristly foxtail | ? | ? | |
| Setaria purila | yelbw foxtail | ? | see Table 2 | |
| Panicum sumatrense | litte m illet | ? | see Table 3 | |
| Paspalum scrobiculatum | kodo m illet | kodrava | *ar-V-k-, *var-ak- | * <i>var-ak-</i> (Tamil, Mabyabm, Kannada), * _{ar-} Vk- (Kannada, Telugu) |
| Echinochloa frumentacea | Sawa m illet | syamaka | see Table 3 | |
| Digitaria cruciata | Khasim ilet | nil | nil | see Table 3 |
| Coix lacryma- jobi | Job's tear | nil | ? | |
| Oryza sativa | rice | vrihi | *var-inc | see Table 3 |
| Oryza rufipogon | wild rice | nivara | navarai/ nivari | see Table 3 |
| Panicum miliaceum | common milet | cina(ka) | *var-ak- | *Ə- <i>ria</i> (Proto-Munda), * _{Var-ak-} (Telugu) |
| Setaria italica | foxtailm illet | kanku(ni), *kangu(ni), tanguni, (rahala) | *kot-, *tinai, *tin-ay, *nuv-an-av | * <i>kam-pu</i> (Tamil,Ma kaya kam), * <i>ar-∨k-</i> Kannada,Gondi/Gorum,Kuwi), |
| Eleusine coracana | finger millet | madaka | *arak/*arak- | derav (Kherwarian Munda), |
| Sorqhum bicolor | sorghum | yavanala, yavakara | *conn-al | *ana(-)aav (Proto-Munda) |
| Pennisetum glaucum | pearlmillet | *bajjara | *kampu | *kam-pu (Kannada, Telugu) |
| Triticum aestivum | wheat | godhuma | *kul-i | andi (Kannada), |
| micumaestivum | | gounanta | | <i>kaj</i> (Kota/Konkani), <i>koj</i> (Toda), <i>gajja</i> |
| Hordeum vulgare | barley | yava | *koc-/*kac- | Prakrit) |
| Avena sativa | oat | ? | ? | see Table 5 |
| Zea mavs | maize | nil | nil | see Table 5 |

| Table 7. Summary of | n linguistic | archaeological | names of | [*] millets and | l other cereals |
|---------------------|--------------|----------------|----------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| | | | | | |

Modified and based on F.C. Southworth (2005)

Reconstructed forms are conventionally preceded by astarisks to denote non-attestation (Southworth 2005)

to the same species as a result of hybridization between the domesticated type and the closely related weed type.

The domestication process is supported by the linguistic recognition of various types by farmers, such as the weed, companion weed, mimic companion weed, semi-domesticated, and domesticated types of *Brachiaria ramosa* and *Setaria pumila*, in their vernacular names (Tables 1 and 2). The linguistic differentiation shows a close relationship to the domestication process, for instance, in Jalaripalli Village, Andhra Pradesh, where *Setaria pumila* that is mixed with little millet is called *kora samuru*, meaning the grains mixed with little millet, and *tela samuru*, meaning the grains mixed with little millet, which is sold at a local market. This linguistic recognition suggests clearly the agro-ecological status of *Setaria pumila* as a secondary origin (Kimata et al. 2000).

The vernacular names of *Panicum sumatrense* and *Paspalum scrobiculatum* distinguish three types in their domestication process. The names of the mimic companion weed type are called, for example, *akki hullu* (little millet), meaning a rice-like weed, and *kodoghas*, meaning a kodo millet-like weed in upland rice fields (Kobayashi 1991). The linguistic differentiation indicates that both species were also a secondary crop

via a mimic companion weed in upland rice fields. This thoroughly conforms to the observations that were made in the fields. The vernacular name of Echinochloa frumentacea is clearly distinguished from that of Echinochloa colona, which is one of the ancestral species (Yabuno 1962). For instance, the former is called *jhari* and the latter is *dhela* in Orissa (Table 3). Sometimes, the same names were used by farmers to name Panicum sumatrense and Echinochloa frumentacea, same and sawan, but the names were not used in the same place and time. In the same way, the vernacular name of Eleusine coracana is distinguished from a relative weed, Eleusine indica, and the hybrids. However, the weeds associated with other millets and cereals have no names (Tables 4 and 5). Interestingly, Panicum miliaceum and Setaria italica have various names in North-West Frontier Province and Gilgit, Pakistan (Kawase 1991). The vernacular names of Indian cookery-used millets are unique, particularly in South India, because rice (eastward) and wheat (westward) are staple foods today in the other states (Table 6) (Kimata 1991).

The linguistic archaeological names of millets and other cereals are summarized in Table 7. The old Indo-Aryan names for *Brachiaria ramosa*, *Setaria verticillata*, *Setaria pumila*, and *Panicum sumatrense* are not found

| Species | Early | Mature | Late | | (South India) | |
|-------------------------|--------------|------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| Period | 4500 B.C | -2600 B.C. | -2000 B.C. | 2300-1800 B.C. | 1800-1200 B.C. | -0 A.D. 1500 A.D. 1900 A.D. |
| Paspalum scrobiculatum | | | | | trace | |
| Panicum sumatrense | | | | trace | a few | |
| Echinochloa cf. colona | | | | | many | |
| Brachiaria ramosa | | | w ild? | many | many | |
| Setaria verticillata | | | w ild? | many | many | |
| Setaria pumila | | | w ild? | trace | trace | |
| Setaria sp. | | | a greatmany | | | |
| Digitaria cruciata | | | | | | dom esticated |
| Digitaria sanguinalis | | | | | | (unknown, disapeared) |
| Panicum miliaceum | | a few | | | | |
| Panicum sp. | | | a few | | | |
| Setaria italica | | | possible | | | |
| Eleusine coracana | | | ? | poss b le | | |
| Sorghum bicolor | | | many | | | |
| Pennisetum glaucum | | | trace | trace | trace | S. Sec. 2016 |
| Coix lacryma-jobi | | | | 1000 A | | possible |
| Oriza sativa | | many | | trace | trace | |
| Hordeum vulgare | a great many | | | many | many | |
| Triticum dicoccum | | | | trace | trace | |
| Triticum durum/aestivum | | | | many | trace | |
| Triticum sp. | a great many | | | many | many | |
| Avena sativa | a few | | | | | |
| Zea mays | | | | | | introduced |

Table 8. Summary on the first occurrence of grain crops in South Asian

Modified and Based on Fuller et al 2001, Fuller and Madella 2001, and Fuller (personal communication).

in the ancient literature (cf. Southworth 2005). This might indicate that these millets were domesticated in India relatively recently. In contrast, because Paspalum scrobiculatum is named kodorava, this word is considered to be the origin of kodo and kodora. The word syamaka for Echinochloa frumentacea is considered a derivation of shama and sama. The word cina(ka) of Panicum miliaceum is also considered to be the origin of cheena, and the words kanku(ni) and rahala for Setaria italica are the origin of kangani, which was widely used, and rala, which was used in Maharashtra. The word madaka for *Eleusine coracana* is considered to be the origin of mandua in Uttar Pradesh and the word *bajjara is the origin of bajra (*, reconstructed forms by Southworth 2005). The Dravidian name *var-ak- for Paspalum scrobiculatum and Panicum miliaceum is considered to be the origin of *varagu*, and the names **tinai* and **nuv*an-ay for Setaria italica are the origin of thenai in Tamil Nadu and navane in Andhra Pradesh and Karnataka. Because these species have old Indo-Aryan or Dravidian names, they might have been introduced from the Western areas or domesticated within India a relatively long time ago, according to the archaeological evidence (Weber 1992).

The first occurrence of grain crops in South Asia is summarized in Table 8, which is based on Fuller et al.

(2001) but modified with additional information (Fuller and Madella 2001; Fuller, personal communication). H. vulgare, Triticum species (great many), and Avena sativa (a few) were identified in the Early Phase (around 4500 B.C.) of Harappan sites. O. sativa (many) and Panicum miliaceum (a few) were identified in the Mature Phase (around 2600 B.C.). Then, Setaria species (great many), Sorghum bicolor (many), and Pennisetum glaucum (syn. americanum, trace) were found in the Late Phase (around 2000 B.C.). The following species were found in early South Indian sites (2300 to 1800 B.C.): Panicum sumatrense (trace), Brachiaria ramosa (many), Setaria verticillata (many), and Setaria pumila (trace). Then, traces of Paspalum scrobiculatum and many Echinochloa cf colona (possibly Echinochloa frumentacea) were identified in the late sites (1800 to 1200 B.C.). Asian millets occurred historically in the following order: Panicum miliaceum; Setaria species; then Brachiaria ramosa, Setaria verticillata, Panicum sumatrense, and Setaria pumila; and Echinochloa cf colona and Paspalum scrobiculatum. However, Brachiaria ramosa, Setaria verticillata, Setaria pumila, and Echinochloa cf colona might have been gathered as a wild grain.

The naming scheme of millets and their relative weeds is summarized in Table 9. Farmers have four



| Stag | e Awareness | Typical cases (species name) [meaning] |
|---------|-------------------------------------|--|
| I | Unknown | noname∶ghas, hullu [weed] |
| п | Non distinctive | the same name of crop as weed: |
| | | ragi mawa (<i>Eleusine coracana</i>)/ragi mawa (aweed, <i>E. ind</i> ica) |
| | | kodo (<i>Paspalum scrobiculatum</i>) /kodo (the weed) |
| | | kukuru lange (<u>Setaria purrila</u>)/kukury lange (the m in ic weed)[dog's tai]] |
| ш | Identified | |
| ш 1. | a specific word (most crop has se | veralspecific names called by each language group) |
| | | madua (<u>E. coracana</u>)/khadua (<u>E. indica</u>) |
| | | gru ji suau (Echinochloa frumentacea)/dhera (a weed, E. colona) |
| | | merendo, kodowar (a m in ic weed, _{P. scrobiculatum})/matwali, kharasam i (a weed, _{Paspalum sp} .) |
| 2. | added a few adjective words | |
| 2 | .1 meaning "weed" | lingudi (Se <i>tana pumil</i> a)/ghas lingudi (the weed) |
| | | kodo/kodo ghas, |
| - | | sam e m e bitti (a m in ic w eed, <i>B. rann</i> sa) [like little m illet] |
| 2 | .2 like "another crop" | akkihulu (a minic weed, <i>P. ramosa)</i> [weed ke rice] |
| | | anninu (a iir iir b ir eeu, P. SUTHUPISE) (ir eeu ine r bej |
| 2 | .3 indicating a morphological trait | ragikaddi (a weed, <u>E. indica</u>) [finger millet with spike like a stick] |
| 2 | | biai lange (a weed, <u>S. numia</u>) [cat's tail] |
| | | |
| 2 | .4 indicating an ecological trait | samulu <i>(p_{anicum sumatrense})/</i> yerri arasamulu (the weed with grain shattering) |
| - | | same (p. <u>sumatrense</u>)/samuru korra (s. <u>pumia</u>) [foxtailmilet growing in little millet field] |
| | | varagu sakkalathi (<u>S. punila</u>) [a m in ic weed, second w ife of kodo m illet] |
| | | sakka ath i same (a m in ic weed, B. ram osa) [second w ife of little m illet] |
| 2 | .5 indicating a utility | same (p. <u>sumatrense</u>)/ pil same (<i>Brachiaria rampsa</i>) [for fodder], |
| | , | |
| IV | Classified into some landraces | m arua <i>(E. coracana</i>): three varieties; agat- [early], m adhyam-[m edium] and pichhat-[late] |
| | | /maruani(E. indica). |
| | | sam a <i>(P. sumatrens</i> e): four varieties;manchi-[summer], pa k-[short], ara-[ta II] and |
| | | varagu- [sow ng in January]. |

Table 9. Naming scheme of millets and weeds by farmers in India

stages of awareness of the symbiotic process between them and plants. They are unknown (stage I), nondistinctive (II), identified (III), and classified into some local varieties (IV). In stage I, the farmers have no name for wild/weed plants and call them ghas and hullu. In stage II, the farmers use the same name for the crop (ragi) and weed (ragi). In stage III, the farmers identified and called millets a specific name, for instance, madua for Eleusine coracana (domesticated) and khadua for Eleusine indica (weed). Furthermore, farmers added a few adjective words to the root of the millet name, for example, to mean "weed" (ghas lingudi, meaning weed of Setaria pumila) and "like another crop" (same melatti, meaning mimic weed like little millet), and to indicate a morphological (bilai lange, meaning cat's tail) or ecological trait (yerri arasamulu, meaning weed with grain shattering) and a utility (pil sama, meaning Brachiaria ramosa for fodder). In stage IV, farmers classified the millets into some local varieties, for example, *Eleusine coracana* was known as marua and was classified into the varieties agat- (early), madhyam-(medium), and pichhat- (late); and a weed, Eleusine *indica*, was known as *maruani*. As a consequence of this survey, farmers appear to have an appropriate awareness of the status of millets and their relatives, even though they sometimes use the same names for millets in different places.

In conclusion, the domestication process of millets based on field observations (Kimata et al. 2000), experimental results (Kimata 2015a, 2015b), and these linguistic sources is illustrated in Fig. 2. This domestication center of millets covered the Eastern Ghats and Southern Deccan Plateau. Although this process is quite complicated among millets and their relatives, it is very effective for understanding the domestication by a secondary origin via weed and mimic companion weed types. Oats and rye were the secondary crops of wheat that developed cold tolerance (Vavilov 1926), while Indian millets were secondary crops of upland rice that developed drought tolerance. Bachiaria ramosa tolerates drought better than Setaria pumila, and it became an independent crop. Setaria pumila is almost always grown with little millet, but it seems to grow singly when little millet fails to grow



in severe droughts. Both species are so-called tertiary crops, meaning, they are a double secondary crop for the other millets and upland rice. The millet domestication process indicates the importance of weed-crop complexes and basic agricultural complexes as a plantman symbiosis.

Acknowledgements

The author wishes to express his hearty thanks to the Indian farmers in the areas of study for their valuable information and kindness; to Dr. M. Nesbite and Dr. T. Cope, Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, for their useful suggestion and kind arrangement for examining literature and herbarium specimens; to Dr. D. Fuller, University College of London and Prof. F. Southworth, Pennsylvania University, for their valuable advice and citation permission; and to the late Prof. H. Kobayashi, for his excellent advice and warm collaboration during the field survey in the Indian subcontinent.

Literature cited

Ambasta, S.P. 1986. The Useful Plants of India. Publications & Information Directorate, Council of Scientific & Industrial Research, New Delhi.

Austin, D.F. 2006. Fox-tail millets (*Setaria:* Poaceae) – Abandoned food in two hemisphers. Economic Botany 60(2): 143-158.

- Bellwood, P. and C. Renfrew. 2002. Foreword. pp.xiii-xiv. in P. Bellwood and C. Renfrew eds., Examining the Farming/Language Dispersal Hypothesis, McDonald Institute for Archaeology Research, Cambridge.
- Chandra, U., and M. N. Koppar. 1990. Diversity and domestication of minor millet species in Indian sub-continent. Indian Journal of Plant Genetic Resources 3(2):47-58.
- Church, A.H. 1886. Food-grains of India. The Committee of Council on Education, London.
- de Wet, J. M. J., K. E. Prasada Rao, M. H. Mengesha, and D. E. Brink. 1983a. Diversity in kodo millet, *Paspalum scrobiculatum*. Economic Botany 37:159-163.
- de Wet, J. M. J., K. E. Prasada Rao, M. H. Mengesha, and D. E. Brink. 1983b. Domestication of sawa millet (Echinochloa colona). Economic Botany 37:283-291.
- de Wet, J. M. J., K. E. Prasada Rao, M. H. Mengesha, and D. E. Brink. 1983c. Systematics and domestication of *Panicum sumatrense* (Gramineae), Journal d'Agriculture Traditional et Botanique Applique 30:159-168.
- Fuller, D. 2002. An agricultural perspective on Dravidian historical linguistics: Archaeological crop packages, livestock and Dravidian crop vocabulary. pp.191-213. in P. Bellwood and C. Renfrew eds., Examining the Farming/Language Dispersal Hypothesis, McDonald Institute for Archaeology Research, Cambridge.
- Fuller, D. Q. and M. Madella. 2001. Issues in Harappan achaeobotany: Retrospect and prospect. in Indian Achaeology in Retrospect, Vol. II. Protohistory. S. Settar and Ravi Korisettar



(eds). Publications of the Indian Council for Historical Research, Manohar, New Delhi, 317-390.

- Fuller, D. Q., R. Korisettar and P. C. Venkatasubbaiah. 2001. Southern Neolithic cultivation systems: A reconstruction based on achaeobotanical evidence. South Asian Studies 17: 171-187.
- Kawase, M. 1987. Variation and distribution of millets in South India. Pages 5-14 in S. Sakamoto, ed., A preliminary report of studies on millet cultivation and its agro-postal culture complex in Indian sub-continent, I (1985). Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan.
- Kawase, M. 1991. Millet and their phylogeny in Indian Subcontinent. pp.33-98. in Sakamoto, S. ed., Agro-pastoral Culture Complex of Millets in Indian Subcontinent, Gakkai-Shuppan Center, Tokyo (in Japanese).
- Kimata, M. 1991. Food culture of millet in Indian Subcontinent. pp. 173-222. in Sakamoto, S. ed., Agro-pastoral Culture Complex of Millets in Indian Subcontinent, Gakkai-Shuppan Center, Tokyo (in Japanese).
- Kimata, M. 2015a. Tertiary domestication process of korati, *Setaria pumila* (Poaceae) through the mimicry to other grain crops in the Indian subcontinent. Ethnobotanical Notes 9:32-48.
- Kimata, M. 2015b. Domestication process of *korati, Setaria pumila* (Poaceae), in the Indian subcontinent on the basis of cluster analysis of morphological characteristics and AFLP markers. Ethnobotanical Notes 9:49-64.
- Kimata, M. and S. Sakamoto. 1992. Utilization of several species of millet in Eurasia. Bulletin of Field Studies Institute, Tokyo Gakugei University 3: 1-12.
- Kimata, M., E. G. Ashok and A. Seetharam. 2000. Domestication, cultivation and utilization of two small millets, *Brachiaria ramosa* and *Setaria glauca* (Poaceae), in South India. Economic Botany 54(2):217-227.
- Kobayashi, H. 1987. Mimic and associated weeds with millets and cultivation methods of millets in the Indian subcontinent. Pages 15-40 in S. Sakamoto, ed., A preliminary report of studies on millet cultivation and its agro-postal culture complex in Indian sub-continent, I (1985). Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan.
- Kobayashi, H. 1989. Mimic and associated weeds with millet and rice cultivation in Orissa and Maharashtra in India. Pages 11-32 in S. Sakamoto, ed., A preliminary report of studies on millet cultivation and its agro-postal culture complex in Indian subcontinent, II(1987). Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan.
- Kobayashi, H. 1991. The origin of secondary crops of millet in India. pp. 99-140 in Sakamoto, S. ed., Agro-pastoral Culture Complex of Millets in Indian Subcontinent, Gakkai-Shuppan Center, Tokyo (in Japanese).
- Nakao, S. 1967. The origin of Agriculture. pp.329-496 in M.Morishita and T. Kira, eds., The Nature --- ecological studies, Chuoukouronsha, Tokyo (in Japanese).
- Pokharia, A.K. 2008. Palaeoethnobotanical record of cultivated crops and associated weeds and wild taxa from Neolithic site, Tokwa, Uttar Pradesh, India. Current Science 94(2): 248-255.
- Sakamoto, S. 1987. A preliminary report of studies on millet

cultivation and its agro-pastoral culture complex in Indian subcontinent, I (1985). Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan.

- Sakamoto, S. 1989. A preliminary report of studies on millet cultivation and its agro-pastoral culture complex in Indian subcontinent, II (1987). Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan.
- Sakamoto, S. 1991. Agro-pastoral Culture Complex of Millets in Indian Subcontinent, Gakkai-Shuppan Center, Tokyo (in Japanese).
- Sehgal, J. L., D. K. Mandal, C. Mandal, and S. Vadivelu. 1992. Agro-Ecological regions of India. Oxford and IBH Publishing Co., New Delhi, India.
- Singh, H. B., and R. K. Arora. 1972. *Raishan (Digitaria* sp.) ---- a minor millet of the Kashi Hills, India. Economic Botany 26:376-380.
- Southworth, F.C. 2005. Linguistic Archaeology of South Asia. Routledge Curzon, London.
- Vavilov, N. I. 1926. Studies on the origin of cultivated plants. Bull. Appl. Bot. Plant Breed. (Leningrad), 16(2):1-248.
- Weber, S. A. 1992. South Asian Archaeobotanical Variability. In South Asian Archaeology 1989, C. Jarrige (ed). pp. 283-290. Prehistory Press, Madison Wisconsin.
- Yabuno, T. 1962. Cytotaxonomic studies on the two cultivated species and the wild relatives in the genus *Echinochloa*. Cytologia 27:296-305.